

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 69 OF 2025

Contempt Case filed Under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents herein violating and disobeying the directions of the High Court dated 28.10.2024 passed in W.P.No.29545 of 2024.

Between:

M.H. Amjed, S/o. Late Abdul Razzak, aged about 51 years, Occ. R and B Electrical Contractor, R/o. H. No. 18-7-198/A/93, Murad Mahal, Aman Nagar, B Talabkatta, Hyderabad

...Petitioner

AND

1. K. Ilam Barithi, S/o. Not Known, Occ. Commissioner, GHMC, O/o. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
2. Killu Shiva Kumar Naidu, S/o. Not Known, Occ. Additional Commissioner, O/o. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
3. N. Santosh, S/o. Not Known, Occ. Superintending Engineer, Electrical, GHMC, O/o. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, CC Complex, 4th Floor, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.
4. B. Rama Rao, S/o. Not Known, Occ. The Executive Engineer (Electrical), O/o. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Circle 8, Charminar Zone, Phool Bagh, GHMC, Hyderabad.

...Contemnors

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI JALIB HASAN

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI RAPARTHI VENKATESH

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

CONTEMPT CASE No.69 OF 2025

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order of this Court in WP.No.29545 of 2024, dt.28.10.2024.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Raparathi Venkatesh, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.4 and perused the record.

3. A detailed counter has been filed by respondent No.4 stating that in compliance with the orders of this Court, the 4th respondent had furnished information as sought for by the petitioner *vide* his application, dt.28.08.2024 with the available records *vide* reply, dt.03.02.2025, by sending the same through registered post and the same was delivered.

4. Rejoinder to the aforesaid counter has been filed by the petitioner stating that proper information as sought for by the petitioner was not furnished nor it was furnished within the stipulated time.

5. In reply thereto, learned Standing Counsel submits that though there is delay in complying with the directions of this Court, the same is neither willful nor wanton and that if the

petitioner is aggrieved by the information furnished by the respondent, he has to avail the remedy of appeal.

6. Having regard to the fact that the 4th respondent had furnished the information to the petitioner by sending the same through registered post and the same was delivered to him, this Contempt Case is closed. No order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- T. VIJAY KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Jalib Hasan, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri Raparthi Venkatesh, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

AS


ABK/PSL

HIGH COURT

DATED: 22/08/2025

ORDER

CC.No.69 of 2025



CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE

WITHOUT COSTS

⑤MT
16/10/25