

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

: PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

AS NO: 12 OF 2025

Between:

1. Siddhanthi Apoorva Kumar, S/o. Siddhanthi Anand Kumar
2. Siddhanthi Ajay Kumar, S/o. Siddhanthi Anand Kumar

...Petitioners
(Appellants in AS 12 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. P. Mahender Reddy, S/o. Late Ramchandra Reddy, Occupation: Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 3-4-17, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
2. P. Venkateshwar Reddy, S/o. P. Narasimha Reddy, Occupation: Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 3-4-17, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
3. P. Uma, W/o. Late P. Yugender Reddy, Occupation: Government Servant, R/o. H. No. 5-2-6, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners:

SRI M RAM MOHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents:

SRI B RAVEENDRA BABU

Petition under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 R/w Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant ad-interim injunction restraining the respondents from interfering with petitioners possession and enjoyment over the suit schedule property, pending disposal of AS No. 12 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

Schedule of Property

Land in Survey No. 102/6/A/AA/E admeasuring an extent of 3389.33 Square Yards, situated in ward no. 8, block no. 2, near Government Hospital, in Mahabubnagar, with the following boundaries.

East: 30 feet road
West: Government Hospital
North: Land of P. Narsimha Reddy and P. Mahender Reddy
South: Government Hospital

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for petitioners/appellants as well as learned counsel for the respondents.

Having regard to the reasons stated in the affidavit as well as the submissions made by learned counsel for both the parties and also taking into consideration that ad-interim injunction was subsisting throughout the pendency of the suit, there shall be ad-interim injunction as prayed, till the next date of hearing.

Post on 27.03.2025.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-MOHD.ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The District Judge, Mahabubnagar District at Mahabubnagar (in duplicate along with a copy of injunction to serve on the respondents and return the same to this Court)
2. The I Additional District Judge, at Mahabubnagar
3. P. Mahender Reddy, S/o. Late Ramchandra Reddy, Occupation: Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 3-4-17, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
4. P. Venkateshwar Reddy, S/o. P. Narasimha Reddy, Occupation: Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 3-4-17, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
5. P. Uma, W/o. Late P. Yugender Reddy, Occupation: Government Servant, R/o. H. No. 5-2-6, Vallabhnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
(Addressees 3 to 5 by RPAD)
6. One CC to Sri M Ram Mohan Reddy Advocate [OPUC]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNAJ

DATED:03/02/2025

NOTE: POST ON 27.03.2025

ORDER

**IA. NO. 1 OF 2025
IN
AS.No.12 of 2025**

INTERIM INJUNCTION

