

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER
CHARGESHEET) NO. 27632 of 2025**

=====

SANJAYBHAI UDESINGBHAI DESAIBHAI PARMAR

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

SEHBAZHUSAN A KADRI(8012) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR HARDIK MEHTA ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the
Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL**Date : 07/04/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr. S.A. Kadri appearing on behalf of the applicant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr. Hardik Mehta appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

2. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.

3. The applicant has filed this application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on Regular Bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No. 11215006250353 of 2025 registered with Borsad City Police Station, District: Anand** for the offence punishable under Sections 309(6), 310(2), 115(2), 117(2), 317(2), 54 of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant would submit that considering the role attributed to the applicant, and nature of the allegation levelled, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail. It is further submitted that since the charge-sheet is filed no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant in jail for indefinite period. It is further contended that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by this Court if released on bail.

5. As against the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State has vehemently objected to the grant of regular bail. Learned APP has submitted that looking to the nature of offence and the role attributed to the present applicant as coming out from the charge-sheet, this Court may not exercise the discretion in favour of the applicant and the application may be dismissed.

6. This Court has heard learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered:-

(i) The allegation being that the applicant had committed offence punishable under Sections 309(6), 310(2), 115(2), 117(2), 317(2), 54 of the BNS more particularly it would appear that the accused had entered the premises of the complainant for the purpose of committing dacoity and forcibly taken gold ornaments which were worn by the victim.

(ii) The role attributed to the present applicant not co-relatable to

the role of the other accused.

(iii) It would appear that the applicant is alleged to have supported the other accused in commission of the offence by taking other accused to the place of the offence and after the alleged offence was committed, the applicant had brought back the accused on his bike.

(iv) While it would appear that the present applicant has two other antecedents of being involved in other two offences yet, considering that the applicant is in custody since 19.07.2025 and whereas, the role attributed to the present applicant not being the part of the group which had committed the actual offence, this Court is inclined albeit with appropriate safeguards.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.**

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection with F.I.R. registered as **C.R. No. 11215006250353 of 2025 registered with Borsad City Police Station,**

District: Anand on executing a bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Court concerned;

[e] Mark presence before the concerned Police Station once a month for a period of twelve months;

[f] furnish the present address of residence to the I.O. and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior intimation to the I.O.;

9. The Authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Court concerned will be free to take appropriate action in the matter.

10. Bail bond to be executed before the lower court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

11. At the stage of trial, the trial court shall not be influenced by any observations of this Court which are of preliminary nature made at this stage, only for the purpose of considering the application of the applicant for being released on regular bail.

12. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

NIRU

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)