

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR DIRECTION) NO. 1 of 2025
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR DIRECTION) NO. 2 of 2025
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR AMMENDMENT) NO. 2 of 2026
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR ORDERS) NO. 4 of 2026
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR ORDERS) NO. 5 of 2026
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR AMENDMENT) NO. 6 of 2026
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR ORDERS) NO. 10 of 2026
In CIVIL APPLICATION (OJ) (FOR ORDERS) NO. 4 of 2026
In R/ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 92 of 2025

=====

SEGAL SHIPS PRIVATE LIMITED

Versus

M.V. DARYA SAGAR(IMO NO.9971551) & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR. PRASHANT PRATAP, SENIOR ADVOCATE A/W MR. AMITAVA MAJUMDAR AND MR. PANKEET AUNDHIYA (9421) for the defendant(s) no. 1,2,3,4,5
 MS PAURAMI B. SHETH(841) for the Plaintiff(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRAL R. MEHTA

Date : 08/05/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. The learned Senior Counsel Mr. Prashant Pratap

appearing for the Defendants has submitted that due to approaching monsoon season in June, 2026, the Defendant No.5 vessel which is under arrest shall not be allowed to move from the present location and hence requested to permit to shift the Defendant No. 5 vessel i.e., MV Shambhu Sagar from its present location (Navlakhi) to Bhavnagar port while remaining under arrest and the said Vessel then shall remain under arrest at the port where it has been shifted.

2. Learned Advocate Ms. Paurami Sheth has submitted that the Plaintiff are not in a position to verify about the safety of the Defendant No. 5 Vessel but in case the Defendant No. 5 Vessel is to be permitted to shift then the said Vessel be permitted to sail from Navlakhi to Bhavnagar only while remaining under arrest and it shall not be permitted to carry out any activities including cargo operations at the port where the Vessel is shifted and the director of Defendant no. 6 company shall file undertaking that they will not deal with the vessel in any manner, not ply or carry out any activities including cargo operations.

3. Heard Mr. Prashant Pratap, Senior Advocate with Mr. Pankeet Aundhiya, Advocate for Defendants and Learned Advocate Ms. Paurami Sheth for the Plaintiff.

4. This court is inclined to consider the request and permit the Defendant No.5 Vessel to move from Navlakhi to Bhavnagar port, strictly while remaining under Arrest, and subject to filing of Affidavit by a Director of the Defendant No.6 company undertaking that they shall not deal with the Defendant No.5 vessel in any manner and that the said Vessel shall not ply or carry out any activities including cargo activities until further orders and ensure safety of the Vessel during the shifting to Bhavnagar Port.

5. It is made clear that the Defendant No.5 vessel shall remain under arrest at the port where it has been shifted until further orders.

Direct Service is permitted today.

NIHAL PATEL

(NIRAL R. MEHTA,J)