

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4591 of 2024
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 1 of 2024
 In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4591 of 2024
 With
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 722 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 1 of 2025
 In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 722 of 2025
 With
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2062 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR INTERIM RELIEF) NO. 1 of 2025
 In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2062 of 2025
 With
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2065 of 2025
 With
CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR INTERIM RELIEF) NO. 1 of 2025
 In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2065 of 2025

=====

ASHISH VRAJLAL JETHWA

Versus

SAPNABEN W/O ASHISH VRAJLAL JETHWA AND D/O DINESHBHAI
VITTHALBHAI VADHER

=====

Appearance:

JIGNESHKUMAR P PANDAV(8297) for the Appellant(s) No. 1
 MR. R. K. RAJPUT(6988) for the Defendant(s) No. 1
 MS. DHRUVI S. TRIVEDI(18414) for the Defendant(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN
 and
HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE NISHA M. THAKORE

Date : 18/02/2026

ORAL ORDER

(PER : HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN)

1. This Court, on 11.02.2026, has passed the following order:

“As the grievance was raised that the son -Milin is not ready to meet the mother, this Court deemed it fit to have an interaction with the appellant and the respondent, so also the son-Milin. After having a detailed discussion and deliberation with all three persons, we deem it appropriate that it would be in the interest of the son and the mother that the son gets to spend exclusive time with the mother. Father-Mr. Ashish Vrajlal Jethwa has also agreed that he has no objection if the son meets his mother.

2. In view of the above, let the appellant- Ashish Vrajlal Jethwa drop

the son at the place of the mother- Sapnaben at around 8:30 p.m. on 14.02.2026 and may take him back on 15.02.2026 around 7:30 p.m. or thereafter.

3. List the matters on 18.02.2026.”

2. As per the order, the father was required to drop the son at the place of the mother and was to take back on the next day. Ms Dhruvi Trivedi, learned advocate has raised grievance that the order is not complied with. Although the son was dropped; however, according to the mother, he was under some influence rather pressure and did not stay there. It appears that show was created to comply with the order but in essence, the order is not complied with.

3. On the other hand, Mr Jigneshkumar P. Pandav, learned advocate states that the father did go with the child; however, it was a resistance shown by the child which compelled the father to take him back. Even on the next day the father again went with the child but the child was not inclined to stay with the mother. It is stated that this weekend, at around 8:30 p.m. on 21.02.2026 appellant-father Ashish Vrajlal Jethwa will take the son and drop at the house of the mother- Sapnaben and take him back on 22.02.2026 around 7:30 p.m. or thereafter.

4. It is expected that the father will put all the efforts to see that the son is dropped and the meeting with the mother is facilitated. It is also expected that this time, no excuses are offered by the father. Any lapse or any resistance would be taken as non-compliance of the order. Also, possibility of passing of appropriate order, may not be ruled out by this Court.

5. List the matters on 23.02.2026.

(SANGEETA K. VISHEN,J)

RAVI P. PATEL

(NISHA M. THAKORE,J)