

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR CONTEMPT) NO. 2740 of 2025****In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION/7424/2025**

=====

PANKAJBHAI BHALABHAI PATEL POA OF MAHENDRABHAI GOVINDBHAI
PATEL
Versus
VIKRAM PATEL (ESTATE/TDO INSPECTOR) AHMEDABAD MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR DHURUV M PAREKH(12293) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR MA PAREKH(1088) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR HARDIK C RAWAL(719) for the Opponent(s) No. 2

MR NANDISH Y CHUDGAR(2011) for the Opponent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BHARGAV D. KARIA**
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE L. S. PIRZADA

Date : 23/04/2026**ORAL ORDER****(PER : HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BHARGAV D. KARIA)**

Heard learned advocate Mr. M.A. Parekh for the applicant and learned advocate Mr. Hardik C. Rawal for the respondent no.2.

Learned advocate Mr. Rawal has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2 wherein it is stated that Letters Patent Appeal No.196 of 2026 is dismissed and further stated that the order passed by the learned Single Judge shall be complied with as per the averments made in the following paragraphs in the

affidavit-in-reply:

“12. It is submitted that the aforesaid application / representation dated 26-02-2026 for variation of the town planning scheme was in addition to the previously preferred applications / representations dated 21-01-2025 and 08-01-2026 for variation of the town planning scheme which are also pending final decision at the end of the Town Planning Authorities of the State Government (of which the former application / representation was preferred well before the commencement of any of the present legal proceedings).

13. It is submitted that because of the ongoing election process for municipal corporations and panchayats, the Model Code of Conduct is in operation and therefore, there is considerable delay in decision making process on the application / representation (at Annexure A2) preferred by the GSRTC to the State Government. It is submitted that whatever decision is taken by the State Government is and will be binding on GSRTC. The GSRTC therefore assures and undertakes that the directions issued by this Hon'ble Court will be complied with subject to the decision which may be taken by the State Government on the application / representation (at Annexure A2) for variation of town planning scheme preferred by GSRTC.”

Considering the above averments, the respondents are directed to file further affidavit of compliance before the next date of hearing.

Stand over to 18th June, 2026.

(BHARGAV D. KARIA, J)

(L. S. PIRZADA, J)

RAGHUNATH R NAIR