

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15215 of 2025**

=====

M/S ASAHI TENNANTS COLOR PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR MIHIR JOSHI, SENIOR ADVOCATE WITH MR RAJESH SHARMA FOR
MS GARGI R VYAS(7983) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8
MR ANKIT SHAH(6371) for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2,3

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. SUPEHIA**

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANAV TRIVEDI

Date : 16/01/2026

ORAL ORDER

(PER : HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. SUPEHIA)

Learned Senior Standing Counsel Mr. Ankit Shah requests some time to take appropriate instructions in the matter, since it is alleged by learned Senior Advocate Mr. Joshi that the interim order has not been complied with.

List the matter on **29.01.2026**.

Learned Senior Advocate Mr. Joshi has submitted that in the earlier order dated 14.11.2025, inadvertently, in the concluding lines of the paragraphs, the expressions **“the respondent were to succeed in the instant writ petition”** and **“the aforesaid direction will not create any equities in favour of the respondent”** were used. It is submitted that in both the sentences, the word **“respondent”** was wrongly mentioned instead of **“petitioners”**.

The same shall stand corrected. Both the sentences shall read thus:-

“XXXX....the petitioners were to succeed in the instant writ petition.”

&

“XXXX...the aforesaid direction will not create any equities in favour of the petitioners.”

Order dated 14.11.2025 stands corrected accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. S. SUPEHIA, J)

Sd/-
(PRANAV TRIVEDI,J)

MAHESH/37