

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (AGAINST CONVICTION -
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT ACT) NO. 1392 of 2023**

=====

KANTILAL KARSHANBHAI KANJARIYA

Versus

DINESHKUMAR SUNDARJIBHAI RAICHURA & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

ADVOCATE NOTICE NOT RECD BACK for the Applicant(s) No. 1

DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 2

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR

Date : 21/04/2026

ORAL ORDER

Perused the Office Note.

It appears that in unnumbered paragraph No. 2, the words “non-bailable warrant” have been wrongly mentioned instead of “bailable warrant.” Therefore, the same be read as “**bailable warrant.**”

The Office Note stands disposed of accordingly.

ALI

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)