

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13552 of 2025**

=====

DIPESHKUMAR BHARATBHAI PILOJPARA

Versus

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR DIVYESH Y PATEL(11830) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR SIKANDER SAIYED(3458) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR KAUSHAL D PANDYA(2905) for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MAUNA M. BHATT**Date : 30/09/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. Mr.Sikandar Saiyed, the learned advocate for the petitioner requested for draft amendment in the prayer clause, the same is allowed to be carried out forthwith.

2. This petition is filed seeking to direct the respondent Corporation to act in consonance with the complaint filed by the petitioner dated 19.07.2025.

3. Heard the learned advocate Mr.Sikandar Saiyed for the petitioner. The learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that on account of unauthorized construction carried out by the respondent No.3, the petitioner raised a grievance before the Collector as also to the Municipal Authorities stating that construction without any development permission and beyond the regulation is carried out. However, till date, no action is taken. Respondent No.3 is continuing with the construction.

As as per communication dated 08.08.2025 (Annexure 'D', Page '32'), it is apparent that the Commissioner has stated to take timely action. However, the photographs at page '32' shows continued construction.

4. Considering the submissions, issue **NOTICE** making it returnable on **18.11.2025**. Mr.Kaushal D. Pandya, the learned advocate waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

5. The respondent No.3 is directed to maintain *status quo* in relation to the construction in question.

SAHIL S. RANGER

(MAUNA M. BHATT,J)