

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12832 of 2025

=====

KEI INDUSTRIES LTD. THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED PERSON AJIT
KUMAR BHANDARI

Versus

THE STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

A B PATEL(7467) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR JAYNEEL PARIKH, AGP for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI

Date : 15/09/2025

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard Mr. A. B. Patel, the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Jayneel Parikh, the learned AGP appearing for the respondents.

2. The petitioner herein has challenged the impugned notice dated 28.8.2025 duly produced at page-15 (Annexure-A).

3. Mr. A. B. Patel, the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the proceedings initiated under Section 84(C) of the Gujarat Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948 are time barred. The petitioner is a bonafide purchaser for the value of

the land referred to in paragraph-5.6. The impugned action is taken at the instance of private complaint after 07 years for the breach of provisions of the Tenancy Act. Reliance is placed on the decision in the case of Naresh Ramanlal Doshi vs. State of Gujarat, reported in 2023 (0) AIJEL-HC-245297, wherein the initiation of proceedings by the authorities beyond the reasonable time are held to be nullity and that the authorities do not have powers to recall in question any revenue entry after a reasonable period.

4. It is submitted that the names of the respondent No.4 was entered in the revenue records of the said land by virtue of said sale transaction after verification of their status of agriculturists therefore, the petitioner is on better footing than the petitioner of the said petition also.

5. Issue rule making it returnable on 15.12.2025.

In the meantime, interim relief is granted in terms of Para-10(C) of the petition.

Direct service is permitted.

(VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI,J)

K.K. SAIYED