

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5850 of 2018****With****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 18826 of 2019**

=====

BHARATBHAI KARAMSHIBHAI VACHANI & ORS.**Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.**

=====

Appearance:

MR SP MAJMUDAR(3456) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,3,4

MR. NISHIT P GANDHI(6946) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,3,4

NARENDRASINH R JADEJA(8047) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,3,4

MR ANAND B GOGIA(5849) for the Respondent(s) No. 5

MR MA PAREKH(1088) for the Respondent(s) No. 6

MR. GAURAV A. GOGIA(14128) for the Respondent(s) No. 5

NOTICE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2,3,4,7,8

=====

CORAM: ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 19/02/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 20.02.2024 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. Anand B. Gogia and Mr. Gaurav A. Gogia for the Respondents and the office note dated 17.02.2024 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Respondents and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Respondents of receipt of communication pertaining to the

retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 20.02.2024 filed by learned advocate Mr. Anand B. Gogia and Mr. Gaurav A. Gogia for Respondents and the office note dated 17.02.2024 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(P. S. DAVE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB Desai