

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12467 of 2025****KARSHANBHAI GOVINDBHAI DODIYA****Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.****Appearance:****MR TIRTH H SHAH(13975) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1****MR. NISHIT P GANDHI(6946) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1****MR JAYNEEL PARIKH, AGP for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2,3,4****NOTICE SERVED BY DS for the Respondent(s) No. 4****CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA P. MAYEE****Date : 30/06/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. By the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for a direction to respondent no.4-Mamlatdar, Taluka Talala, District Gir Somnath to forthwith comply with the order dated 20.06.1994 passed by the learned District Collector in Revision No.92/92 as well as the order dated 20.12.2022 passed by the learned Deputy Collector in Appeal Case No.63/2022 and thereby, reflect the name of the petitioner in the revenue record of the subject land in question.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are the owners of the land situated at Revenue Survey No.5p, admeasuring Acre 1-0 Gunthas of Village Talala, Taluka Talala, District Gir Somnath. He submits that the petitioners have purchased the subject land in question vide registered sale deed dated 27.09.1984 and accordingly, mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987 came to be mutated in favour of the petitioner, which was also duly certified on 11.05.1987 in the revenue records. He

submits that even today the petitioners are in possession of the land in question since 1984 and are cultivating the same. He submits that the said mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987 came to be taken in suo motu revision by the Deputy Collector and was cancelled by order dated 15.07.1991 passed in RRT Revision No.64/89-90. Accordingly, entry no.1536 dated 26.07.1991 was mutated. Aggrieved, the petitioner preferred Revision No.92/92 against the aforesaid order, which came to be allowed by the learned District Collector vide order dated 20.06.1994 and the order of the Deputy Collector came to be quashed and set aside. He submits that the said order has become final as no further proceedings were adopted by the revenue authorities. He further submits that despite several requests to mutate his name in the revenue records and restore the mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987, the respondents have not acted in accordance with the said order. He submits that the application of the petitioner came to be rejected by the respondent no.4-Mamlatdar by communication dated 30.06.2021 on the ground that the civil proceedings are pending in respect of the subject land. Aggrieved, the petitioner thereafter has filed RRT Appeal Case No.63/22 against the said communication/order dated 03.06.2022 of the respondent no.4-Mamlatdar. He submits that by order dated 20.12.2022, the learned Deputy Collector, Veraval has once again directed that the mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987 shall be recorded in the revenue records by the respondent no.4-Mamlatdar, Talala. He submits that till date the learned Mamlatdar has not acted

in accordance with the said orders. He, therefore, submits that appropriate direction be passed.

3. Learned AGP Mr. Jayneel Parikh appearing for the respondent authorities submits that the impugned orders are not being implemented as there is some discrepancy in respect of area of the subject land. He however submits that the revenue authorities are bound to implement the order dated 20.06.1994 in Revision No.92/92 as well as the order dated 20.12.2022 passed by the Deputy Collector in Appeal Case No.63/22.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Considered the submissions and perused the documents on record.

5. It is not in dispute that the order dated 20.06.1994 passed by the learned District Collector in Revision No.92/92 as well as the order dated 20.12.2022 passed by the Deputy Collector in Appeal Case No.63/22 have attained finality. The respondent no.4-Mamlatdar, Talala is bound to implement the same. Once the higher authorities in revenue proceedings have directed that the mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987 in favour of the petitioners has to be reinstated in the revenue records, the learned Mamlatdar, Talala cannot defer the implementation of the same. Accordingly, respondent no.4-Mamlatdar, Talala is directed to implement the order dated order dated 20.06.1994 passed by the learned District Collector in Revision No.92/92 as well as the order dated

20.12.2022 passed by the Deputy Collector in Appeal Case No.63/22 and reinstate the mutation entry no.1406 dated 01.01.1987 in the revenue records within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

6. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Direct service is permitted.

ABHISHEK/133

Sd/-
(ANIRUDDHA P. MAYEE, J.)