

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13284 of 2025**

=====

PUNAMBHAI RANABHAI PARMAR & ORS.  
Versus  
THE STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

**Appearance:**

MR KRUNAL G PATEL(8525) for the Petitioner(s) No.  
1,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,  
35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,6,7,8,9  
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s) No. 1,4,5  
MR DEEP D VYAS(3869) for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3

=====

**CORAM:HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MAUNA M. BHATT**  
**Date : 25/09/2025**  
**ORAL ORDER**

1. Learned advocate Mr.Krunal Patel for the petitioners requested for Draft Amendment. The same is allowed. To be carried out forthwith.
2. This petition is filed seeking to quash and set aside the Notices dated 07.07.2025 and 14.08.2025 under which, the petitioners have been directed to give possession of the plots in question for implementation of Town Planning Scheme No.60 (Narol South-2).
3. Heard learned advocate Mr.Krunal Patel for the petitioners and learned advocate Mr.Deep Vyas for respondent Nos.2 & 3.
4. Learned advocate Mr.Krunal Patel for the petitioners submitted that originally the land was in ownership of one Smt.Lilaben Ishwarbhai. From Lilaben Ishwarbhai, the land in question was purchased by one Shri Anwarali Chhotekhan Rangrej and that person did plotting and sold the said plots to

the present petitioners. Learned advocate for the petitioners relied upon unregistered sale deeds at Annexure “E”, Page.491 onwards. From the unregistered sale deeds, it is evident that the plots were purchased upon payment of consideration and on the said plots, the petitioners have constructed their residential houses and they are residing since many years. Further, though the petitioners have not been considered for grant of Final plots against their original Plots, they raised objections before Town Planning Officer. However, the same were not considered.

5. Responding to the above submissions, learned advocate Mr.Deep Vyas referring to 7/12 extract and “F” Form, submitted that Town Planning Officer to consider the revenue records, which they have considered. As on date, the property is in name of Smt.Lilaben Ishwarbhai and others and the respondent-Corporation is not aware about their internal transactions and for their international grievances, this petition would not be maintainable. Learned advocate Mr.Vyas further submitted that on account of the present petition, finalization of Town Planning Scheme No.60 (Narol South-2) to provide public amenities is withheld. He accordingly requested for shorter Notice and final disposal of this petition on the next date of hearing.

6. Considering the submissions, issue **Notice** returnable on 06.10.2025.

Respondents are permitted to file their respective replies before the next date of hearing.

**(MAUNA M. BHATT,J)**

DIPTI PATEL