

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (QUASHING) NO. 11757 of 2025**

=====

KALPESHBHAI KARSHANBHAI PATEL & ORS.**Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.**

=====

Appearance:**MR HITESH L GUPTA(3937) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2,3****MR NAYAN L GUPTA(11798) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2,3****MR MANAN MEHTA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1**

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**Date : 26/08/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Mr. Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Manan Mehta, learned APP for the respondent State.

2. By way of this petition, the petitioners have prayed for quashing and setting aside the **FIR being C.R.No. 11196001250028 of 2025 registered at Mahila Police Station, Vadodara**, for the offence under Sections 85, 115(2), 352, 351(3) and 54 of BNS, Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act as well as other consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that, the petitioners are falsely roped in the offence and have not committed any offence as alleged against them. The petitioner No.1 is husband who is residing at U.K, whereas, petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are in-laws of respondent No.2. Allegations levelled against the petitioners are general in nature. Though they have applied for mediation, all efforts have gone into vein. The allegation of demand of dowry is false. Only because of matrimonial discord between the husband wife, in-laws have been falsely impleaded.

4. In view of the facts of the case as well as the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Achin Gupta Vs. State of Haryana &**

Anr. Reported in 2024 INSC 369, petition deserves consideration. Hence, **Rule**, returnable on **10.10.2025**. Ld. APP waives Rule for the respondent State.

5. Meanwhile, **ad-interim relief in terms of para 11 (C)** is granted **qua petitioner Nos.2 and 3 only**. Respondent No.2 to be served through the concerned Police Station.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)

SUCHIT