

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY) NO.
18814 of 2026**

In F/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION/34895/2026

=====

JATINKUMAR VALLABHBHAI HIRPARA

Versus

BHIKHUBHAI NARANBHAI RUPAPARA & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR JIGISHUKUMAR D MEHTA(11091) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

NISHITH P ACHARYA(9308) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS. C.M. SHAH, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 2

RULE NOT RECD BACK for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE S.V. PINTO**

Date : 03/09/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned advocate Mr. Ronit Rathod submits that he has instructions to appear on behalf of the respondent No.1 and seeks permission to file his appearance.

2. Registry to accept.

3. Rule. Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent No.2 - State and learned advocate Mr. Ronit Rathod waives service of rule for the respondent No.1.

4. Heard finally with the consent of the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties.

5. The present application seeks condonation of delay of 1202 in preferring the accompanying Criminal Revision Application challenging the judgment and order passed by the learned Sessions Judge affirming the conviction and sentence recorded by the learned Trial Court.

6. The applicant has explained the delay by stating that after pronouncement of the impugned judgment, certified copies were obtained, legal advice was sought and necessary documents required for filing the revision application were collected. It is contended that the delay was neither intentional nor deliberate but occasioned due to circumstances beyond the control of the applicant.

7. Learned Mr. Ronit Rathod for the respondent submits that the matter is amicably settled between the parties and has no objection if the application for condonation of delay is allowed.

8. Having regard to the nature of the proceedings, the explanation tendered by the applicant and the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, this Court is satisfied that sufficient cause has been made out for condonation of delay. Accordingly, the application is allowed.

9. The delay of 1202 in filing the Criminal Revision Application is condoned.

10. Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid term.

ROHAN SONI

(S. V. PINTO,J)