

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 17140 of 2025
(FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL)**

=====
JAYSHREEBEN HIRABHAI SOLANKI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT
=====

Appearance:

MR PK JANI, Sr.Adv. with MR DHRUV K DAVE(6928) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS JYOTI BHATT APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1
=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DIVYESH A. JOSHI

Date : 01/09/2025

ORAL ORDER

Learned Senior Counsel, Mr. P.K. Jani assisted by learned advocate, Mr. Dhruv Dave has referred to the FIR and submitted that for the alleged incident, FIR has been lodged against total 5 accused persons, wherein the applicant is shown as accused no.5. He submitted that as per the FIR, the allegations of corruption are leveled against the applicant, however, there is no demand, acceptance and recovery from the present applicant and so far as the allegations are concerned, the amounts were demanded and collected by the accused no.1, Ghanshyambhai Patel and after collected said amount, he had talked with the present

applicant. He, however, submitted that in fact, though such allegations are levelled, voice spectrography test had not been carried out by the concerned Investigating Officer and the applicant is seriously raising doubt about the said conversation took place between the applicant and the said accused, Ghanshyambhai Patel. He, however under the instructions, submitted that the applicant is ready and willing to cooperate in the investigation including giving of her voice sample for carrying out voice spectrography test.

Learned APP Ms. Bhatt submitted that if the applicant will remain present before the concerned Investigating Officer, in that even, appropriate interrogation would be conducted including taking of voice sample of the applicant. She, however, submitted that all these procedure are lengthy as some procedure as required under the law is required to be followed, therefore, it would take considerable long time to conclude such procedure, therefore, reasonable long time may be granted.

In view of the above submissions canvassed by learned advocates for the parties, it is found out that it is the specific case of the applicant that there was

no telephonic talks between the applicant and the accused no.1 and as stated above, willingness has been shown by her to provide her voice sample for the purpose of carrying out voice spectrography test so that entire picture would be clear. Therefore while directing the applicant to remain present before the concerned Investigating Officer, the applicant deserves protection.

Therefore till next date of hearing, no coercive steps shall be taken against the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the concerned Investigating Officer for interrogation as also for providing voice sample on 05.09.2026.

Stand over to 6th October, 2025.

Sd/-
(DIVYESH A. JOSHI, J.)

Gautam