

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 17654 of 2019**

=====

AJAYBHAI RAVISHANKAR MISHRA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

DHRUV J PATEL(9232) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS KRINA CALLA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.Y. KOGJE**

Date : 15/10/2019
ORAL ORDER

1. This application is filed by the applicant under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with FIR registered at **C.R. No.I-34 of 2018** registered with **Shankheshwar Police Station, Patan** for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420, 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code.
2. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant would submit that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may be enlarged on anticipatory bail by imposing suitable conditions.
3. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State has opposed this application and granting anticipatory bail to the applicant looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.



4. I have heard the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties, perused the investigation papers and have also taken into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, role attributed to the applicant- accused. Without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre vs. State of Maharashtra and Others**, reported at [2011] 1 SCC 6941, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has reiterated the law laid down by the Constitutional Bench in the case of **Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia and others**, reported at (1980) 2 SCC 665.

Following aspects are also considered:-

(I) The FIR is registered on 27.09.2018 for the offence which is alleged to have taken place on 24.09.2018.

(II) Submission of learned advocate for the applicant that the FIR pertains to the settlement of account of the amount received by the applicant from the witnesses towards the sale of vehicles. This amount was to be deposited with the complainant who was to supply the vehicle, however it appears in the FIR that the amount was not paid to the complainant and therefore the vehicles are not supplied.

(III) Submission of learned advocate for the applicant that it is a matter of settling of account as in most of the cases the applicant has either supplied the vehicle or has refunded the amount and as on date there is only dispute with regard to amount of Rs.8,50,000/-



which the applicant is ready and willing to deposit.

(IV) Submission of learned advocate for the applicant that prior to the registration of the present FIR the applicant himself has filed FIR at Sola Police Station which was directed to be investigated by this Court in a separate proceedings;

(V) Learned Additional Public Prosecutor under the instructions of the Investigating Officer is unable to bring on record any special circumstances against the applicant.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions, including imposition of conditions with regard to the powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent court for his remand. He would further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of the applicant-accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open.

6. In the result, the present application is allowed by directing that in the event of arrest of the applicant herein in connection with FIR registered as **C.R. No.I-34 of 2018** registered with **Shankheshwar Police Station, Patan** the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount on the following conditions that he :

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;



(b) shall remain present at the concerned Police Station on **24th OCTOBER, 2019** between 11.00 AM and 02.00 PM;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall, at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change his residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders without the permission of Trial Court;

(f) To mark presence once in fifteen days before the concerned Police Station till filing of the charge-sheet;

(g) shall not leave India without the permission of the Trial Court and if having passport, shall deposit the same before the Trial Court within a week; and

(h) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide the same on merits;

(i) to deposit an amount of Rs.8,50,000/- with the Trial Court within a period of one month;



7. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for Police remand of the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the Police custody, upon completion of such period of Police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.
8. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.
9. The application is allowed accordingly. Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid terms. Direct service is permitted.

URIL RANA

(A.Y. KOGJE, J)