

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**  
**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (QUASHING) NO.**  
**11299 of 2025**

=====

NARENDRA KUMAR BABUBHAI YOGI & ORS.

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR TEJAS BAROT, learned Senior Advocate assisted by MS RHEA CHOKSHI(10808) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7  
 MS DHWANI TRIPATHI, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**

**Date : 13/08/2025**

**ORAL ORDER**

- 1) By way of present petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, the petitioners have sought quashing of the **FIR No.11217020250591 of 2025** registered with **B-Division Patan City Police Station, Patan City**, for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 506(1) and 114 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 3 and 7 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.
- 2) Heard learned Senior Advocate for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.
- 3) The alleged incident took place on 03.03.2018, whereas, the FIR came to be registered on 09.07.2025. The petitioner no.1 is husband, petitioner nos.2 is father-in-law, petitioner no.3 is mother-in-law, petitioner nos.4 is brother-in-law, petitioner no.5 is sister-in-law and the petitioner nos.6 and 7 are uncles-in-law of the complainant – respondent no.2. The petitioner nos.6 and 7 are

residing separately. The complaint is filed belatedly and if the allegations which are levelled are accepted as it is even though it is against the petitioner no.1 qua mental and physical harassment. The allegations against the petitioner nos.2 to 5 are general in nature and except taunting no other allegation levelled against them, whereas, the petitioner nos.6 and 7 are distant relatives. Considering the complaint having over implication, general and vague allegations, the present petition deserves consideration **only qua the petitioner Nos.2 to 7.**

- 4) Issue **NOTICE** returnable on **13.10.2025.**

Learned APP waives service of notice of Rule for and on behalf of respondent No.1 – State of Gujarat.

Respondent No.2 to be served through the concerned police station.

- 5) There shall be **no coercive steps against the petitioner Nos.2 to 7,** however, the investigation shall continue and the petitioner nos.2 to 7 shall co-operate with the investigation.
- 6) Direct service is permitted.

ANKIT

**(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)**