

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 100 of 2006****With****CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 94 of 2006**

=====

SHRI KANAKSINH MOHANSINH MANGROLA....Applicant(s)

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & 1....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR BB NAIK, ADVOCATE for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR PARTHIV B SHAH, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR UMESH A TRIVEDI, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR KP RAVAL, ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.G.SHAH****Date : 27/07/2016****ORAL ORDER**

Both the sides to see that proper copies of all the annexures are provided to the Registry. Similarly, both the sides call for the status of the Criminal Case No. 1887 of 2003 from the concerned Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Surat. If case is not disposed of till date, then Registry shall call for Record and Proceedings of the case. Both the learned advocates shall also verify from their respective clients about the dispute, if any, considering the fact that 13 years have lapsed after filing of complaint. List on **03.08.2016.**

(S.G.SHAH, J.)

drashti