

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 100 of 2006**

With
CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR JOINING PARTY) NO. 1 of 2021
In R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 100 of 2006

With
R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 94 of 2006

With
CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR JOINING PARTY) NO. 1 of 2021
In R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 94 of 2006

=====

SHRI KANAKSINH MOHANSINH MANGROLA

Versus
 STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR BB NAIK(497) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
 KHYATI A CHUGH(10132) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
 MR. PARTH H BHATT(6381) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
 MR. UTKARSH SHARMA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. M. RAVAL**Date : 10/12/2025****ORAL ORDER**

It transpires from the board that names of learned advocates Mr. Parth H. Bhatt and Ms. Khyati A. Chugh wrongly mentioned as learned advocates for the applicant in Criminal Revision Application No.100 of 2006, however, it transpires that pursuant to the notice served upon respondent No.2 in Criminal Revision Application No.100 of 2006, they appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 viz. Mr.Manoj Dahyabhai Vyas who is also arraigned as respondent No.1 in Criminal Revision Application No.94 of 2006, and they appeared on his behalf in the said Revision Application also. It also transpires that earlier designated Senior Counsel Mr. B. B. Naik was an advocate on record in the Criminal Revision Application No.100 of 2006.

In view of the above, Registry to verify the record and reflect the names of learned advocates appearing for the respective parties accordingly in the cause list.

Stand over to 24.12.2025.

NITIN MAKWANA

(P. M. RAVAL, J)