

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - BEFORE
CHARGESHEET) NO. 16232 of 2026**

=====

SOKATALI VAZIRMOHAMMAD CHAUHAN
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR. KISHAN H DAIYA(6929) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR KRUTIK PARIKH, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR
Date : 17/08/2026

ORDER

1.0] **RULE.** Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent-State.

[2.0] The present regular bail application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for regular bail in connection with **FIR being C.R. No.11191011260187 of 2026** registered with **DCB Police Station, Ahmedabad City**, for the offence under Sections 316(2), 318(2) and 61(2)(a) of the BNS.

[3.0] Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence. Substantial part of investigation is over. Considering the same, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

[4.0] Learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed the present application and submitted that, the applicant is involved in the offence. Therefore, the present application be dismissed.

[5.0] While granting bail, the Court has to consider the involvement of the accused in the alleged offence, the jurisdiction to grant bail has to be exercised on the basis of the well settled principles having regard to the facts and circumstances of each case and the following factors are to be taken into consideration while considering an application for bail: (i) the nature of accusation and the severity of the punishment and the nature of

the materials relied upon by the prosecution; (ii) reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses and threat to the complainant or the witnesses; (iii) reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the time of trial or the likelihood of his abscondence; (iv) character behaviour and standing of the accused and the circumstances which are peculiar to the accused; (v) larger interest of the public or the State and similar other considerations are required to be considered.

[6.0] I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the investigation papers. Following aspects have been considered:

- (1) substantial part of investigation ;
- (2) Applicant is in jail since 23.06.2026;
- (3) There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant;
- (4) The allegation against the present applicant is that he, along with the co-accused, allegedly contacted the complainant on his mobile phone and, posing as a friend of the complainant, got an amount of Rs.85,000/- transferred through an Angadia firm. Out of the said amount, an amount of Rs.35,00,000/- was paid to the complainant, whereas the remaining amount of Rs.50,00,000/- was not paid by the accused persons to his friend, viz. Sanjay Shivpal Goyal. There is no allegation against the present applicant either of making any demand, receiving any money, withdrawing any amount, or transferring any amount to any of the witnesses or the complainant. There is no money trail in the account of the present applicant. Initially, the complaint was registered against unknown persons based on the SIM card and mobile number. Pursuant to the said number, the investigation was conducted and, based on the confessional statement of the co-accused, the applicant was arraigned as an accused;
- (5) conclusion and Commencement of trial will take its own time;
- (6) remand period is over;

- (7) The applicant is having one past antecedent, however the accused is governed by presumption of innocence unless and until proved guilty;
- (8) It appears that prosecution has failed to show or indicate any circumstances to prolong incarceration and detention of the accused. Therefore, no purpose would be served to continue the incarceration of the applicant;

[7.0] This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra vs. Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **[2012]1 SCC 40** as well as in the case of **Gudikanti Narasimhulu And Ors vs. Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh** reported in **(1978)1 SCC 240**. Obviously, the conclusion of trial will take time and keeping the accused behind the bars is nothing but amounts to pre-trial conviction and therefore, considering the celebrated principle of bail jurisprudence is that "*bail is a rule and jail is exception*" as well as the concept of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, present application deserves consideration.

[8.0] In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing further on merit, *prima facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with **FIR being C.R. No.11191011260187 of 2026** registered with **DCB Police Station, Ahmedabad City**, on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with one **local** surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

- (a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;
- (b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be

- collected by the police;
- (c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;
 - (d) not to leave the territory of **INDIA** without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;
 - (e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a week till filing of the chargesheet and after filing of the chargesheet, mark presence before the concerned police station once in a month for a period of six months;
 - (f) furnish the Aadhar card, email ID/present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence/contact number without prior permission of Trial Court;

[9.0] The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

[10.0] Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

[11.0] At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

[12.0] Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

KUMAR ALOK

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)