

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/PETN. UNDER ARBITRATION ACT NO. 230 of 2025**

=====

MASTEK LIMITED (Amended as per order dated 12/09/2025)

Versus

VISHAL R.

=====

=====

Appearance:

MR MJ MEHTA(5797) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR NANDISH H SHAH(11330) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR SAURABH J MEHTA(2170) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR VIVEK V BHAMARE(6710) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

MR VN BHAMARE(1122) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MRS.
JUSTICE SUNITA AGARWAL

Date : 12/06/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. There is no dispute about the arbitration clause and the notice invoking arbitration sent by the petitioner to the respondent. No reply has been filed to the present petition. However, in response to the notice invoking arbitration clause, the respondent had pointed out certain personal constraints faced by him in continuing with the service agreement dated 04.05.2022, which would obviously be on the merits of the claim of the petition. I have, thus, no option but to allow the present petition.

O R D E R

(i) Petition is **ALLOWED**.

(ii) Mr. Tarak Damani, Advocate, Residing at : 3rd and 4th Floor, Sutaria Complex, B/h HCG Hospital, Mithakali, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad, e-mail address : tarakdamani@yahoo.com is appointed as sole Arbitrator to resolve the disputes between the parties in accordance with the Arbitration Centre (Domestic and International), High Court of Gujarat Rules, 2021. Both parties would be governed by said Rules.

(iii) Registry is directed to communicate this order to the sole arbitrator forthwith by speed post. No order as to costs.

(iv) Consequently, all pending connected application/s, if any, stands disposed of.

(SUNITA AGARWAL, CJ.)

siji