

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2736 of 2021**

=====

NIDHI ARUN CHATURVEDI

Versus

ARUN KAILASHNATH CHATURVEDI

=====

Appearance:

MR SHALIN MEHTA, SR.ADVOCATE with MR DEV D PATEL(8264) for the
Appellant(s) No. 1

MR NEERAJ J VASU(3159) for the Appellant(s) No. 1

MS. KRUTI M SHAH(2428) for the Defendant(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.PARDIWALA

and

HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI**Date : 07/10/2021****ORAL ORDER****(PER : HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.PARDIWALA)**

1. The matter was taken up for hearing today in the chamber. We heard Mr. Shalin Mehta, the learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant and Ms. Kruti M. Shah, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent. The parties also remained present with their minor son Aarrush.

2. We are of the view that as a first step to reach to a happy ending, the father, i.e. the respondent, should be permitted to visit his son Aarrush at Gurgaon. The respondent shall visit Gurgaon on 16th October, 30th October, 13th November and 27th November 2021. On all these days, it shall be open for the respondent to pick up Aarrush from the place of his residence at Gurgaon and keep Aarrush in his company for the whole day. The father shall take utmost care of Aarrush while Aarrush is in his custody. Aarrush should not feel uncomfortable in any

manner. The respondent-father shall pick up Aarrush at 11:00 a.m. and shall drop him back home by 7:00 p.m.

3. We would like to observe how well the aforesaid arrangement works. The whole idea in this arrangement is to ensure that Aarrush develops some rapport with his father. We don't want to deprive the father of expressing his love and affection towards his son. At the same time, we are also concerned about the well-being of Aarrush. If the aforesaid arrangement works well; and we hope and trust that it works well, we shall thereafter consider extending the time limit of the meeting of father and son.

4. We are of the view that it will be in the fitness of things if the respondent father makes Aarrush talk to his mother twice during the day.

5. In the wake of the aforesaid developments, we admit this appeal. The interim relief earlier granted to continue till further orders.

6. Upon request made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and as this Bench has devoted substantial time in trying to bring around some amicable arrangement, we treat this matter as Part-Heard. To be posted before this Bench (J.B.Pardiwala and V.D.Nanavati, JJ.) on 3rd December 2021 in the chamber.

(J. B. PARDIWALA, J.)

(VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI, J.)

/MOINUDDIN