

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14428 of 2017**

=====

TUSHAR PANDURANG PATIL

Versus

SURAT MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MS MAMTA R VYAS(994) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR CJ VIN(978) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 2

=====

CORAM:ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 24/03/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 20.02.2026 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. C. J. Vin for the Respondent No.1 and the office note dated 21.02.2026 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is not annexed with any supportive documents which suggests that the communication has been sent to the Respondent No.1. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned

advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 20.02.2026 filed by learned advocate Mr. C. J. Vin for Respondent No.1 and the office note dated 21.02.2026 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(M. B. RATHORE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB DESAI