

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12967 of 2017**

=====

CHINUBHAI MADANLAL GAJJAR  
Versus  
SAJANI SAMIR GAJJAR & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

AVANI V PATEL(8016) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

DELETED for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR VAIBHAV A VYAS(2896) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM:ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**Date : 24/03/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 05.03.2026 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Avani V. Patel for the Petitioner and the office note dated 09.03.2026 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Petitioner and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Petitioner of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that

clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 05.03.2026 filed by learned advocate Avani V. Patel for Petitioner and the office note dated 09.03.2026 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

**(M. B. RATHORE)**

**ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

RB DESAI