

11/07/2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, AHMEDABAD
BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
ON THIS 11TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

CONCILIATORS PRESENT: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J. L. ODEDRA**
AND
CONCILIATOR - MR.DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS (LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 15463 of 2026

1 VIPULKUMAR RAMESHBHAI
PATEL
AT 91-92, C/O SHRI HARI
AUTOMATION,
JANKI COMPLEX,
RAJKAMAR CROSS ROAD,
SURAT

Applicant(s)

VERSUS

1 STATE OF GUJARAT
NOTICE TO BE SERVED
THROUGH THE PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH
COURT OF GUJARAT,
AHMEDABAD

2 AMAR KIRITKUMAR DAVE
OWNER OF INNOVATIVE
AUTOMATION,
A 11, TEJAS SOCIETY,
NIRNAY NAGAR,
AHMEDABAD

Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR. ASHOK L. CHAUHAN(14049) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR. NILAY THAKOR(14166) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR ROHAN H. RAVAL. ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the
Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

11/07/2026

CONCILIATION ORDER

1. With the consent of the parties, this matter was taken up for hearing in the Lok Adalat.

2. Original complainant, Amar Kiritkumar Dave, the Respondent No.2 herein, duly represented by learned advocate Mr. Harsh Singh Tomar, is present before us. The learned advocate Shri Tomar is permitted to file his Vakalatnama and the Registry is requested to take the same on record. He submits that the matter is settled between the parties in Rs.19,00,000/- and the complainant has received the entire cheque consideration amount to the tune of Rs.19,00,000/-. The Respondent No.2 has also stated that he has no objection to the order passed in Criminal Case No.122073 of 2022 being quashed and set aside. The affidavit stating in terms the forgoing is ordered to be taken on record.

3. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and in view of the fact that, now the original complainant does not want to continue with the proceedings, the impugned order and judgment of conviction dated 09.05.2025 passed in Criminal Case No.122073 of 2022 by the learned 19th Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ahmedabad (City), as well as consequential

11/07/2026

proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed and set aside.

4. In view of the ratio laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Madhya Pradesh State Legal Services Authority vs. Prateek Jain and another*, (2014) 10 SCC 690, the Court in its discretion is not ordering to deposit any amount towards the cost, as the matter has been settled in Lok Adalat.

5. In view of the aforesaid settlement between the parties, present application is disposed of. In view of disposal of main Criminal Misc. Application, all connected applications also stand disposed of. Rule/ notice, if any, shall stand discharged. The order passed in this application shall not be treated as precedent in any other matter including any case arising out of the same incident. The Registry is directed to transmit the record and proceedings to the concerned Court forthwith, if any.

(J. L. ODEDRA, J)
CONCILIATOR

(DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS,
LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)
CONCILIATOR

SUDHIR