

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (HABEAS CORPUS) NO. 9344 of 2026**

=====

ASHOKBHAI VALAJI PARMAR

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR HARDIKKUMAR A DESAI(12173) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS SHRUTI PATHAK, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN**

and

**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE UTKARSH THAKORBHAI
DESAI****Date : 08/07/2026****ORAL ORDER****(PER : HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN)**

Captioned petition is filed by the petitioner, who is father of the corpus, seeking direction to the respondent to produce the corpus. The matter was listed yesterday and was kept at 2.30 p.m. for the purpose of taking instructions.

2. In the post lunch session, owing to paucity of time, the matter could not be taken up and hence, the matter was adjourned to 14.07.2026. Today, Ms Shruti Pathak, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has informed this Court that the corpus is made herself available. Hence, the date was pre-poned and the matter was directed to be listed at 2.30 p.m. today. In-camera proceedings were conducted. The bench has interacted with the corpus and she has expressed her willingness to not to go with the petitioner – her father, but to go with the respondent no.3 with whom she has already performed the marriage. She has also informed this Court that on 08.06.2026, she appeared before the Sola High Court police station and informed that she has willingly left the house. It is also

reported by the concerned police station that the petitioner was informed about the appearance of the corpus; however, he did not turn up.

3. Clearly, on 08.06.2026, the corpus and the respondent no.3 both had appeared before the police station and their respective statements were recorded. As per the statements, both have solemnised the marriage on 01.06.2026. Relevant documents, certificate etc. have been submitted before the concerned police station. Upon instructions from Mr. D.P. Dindor, PSI, who is personally present before this Court; it is stated that the petitioner was informed about the daughter; however, the petitioner chose not to come to the police station. Further, those details are missing in the petition.

4. This Court is of the *prima facie* opinion that the petitioner has not disclosed all the correct material facts. Hence, let the petitioner remain personally present before this Court tomorrow, so as to explain as to why the details are missing in the writ petition.

5. List the matter on 08.07.2026. The corpus need not remain present tomorrow.

(SANGEETA K. VISHEN,J)

(UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI, J)

BINOY B PILLAI