

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - BEFORE
CHARGESHEET) NO. 15594 of 2026**

=====

GURVINDERSINGH MOHINDERSINGH TOOR
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR ZUBIN F BHARDA(159) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR RATHIN P RAVAL(5013) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
MR ADITYA JADEJA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**

Date : 10/08/2026

ORDER

[1.0] RULE. Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent-State.

[2.0] The present application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for regular bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No.11993007260121 of 2026, registered with B-Division Gandhidham Police Station, District:Kachchh** for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 506(2) and 114 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 .

[3.0] Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence and now nothing is required to be recovered or discovered. He therefore submits that, considering the nature of the offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

[4.0] Learned APP Mr.Aditya Jadeja appearing on behalf of the respondent-State and learned advocate Mr.Aditya Wadhwa have opposed the present application and requested to dismiss the present application for regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

[5.0] While granting bail, the Court has to consider the involvement of the accused in the alleged offence, the jurisdiction to grant bail has to be exercised on the basis of the well settled principles having regard to the

facts and circumstances of each case and the following factors are to be taken into consideration while considering an application for bail: (i) the nature of accusation and the severity of the punishment and the nature of the materials relied upon by the prosecution; (ii) reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses and threat to the complainant or the witnesses; (iii) reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the time of trial or the likelihood of his abscondence; (iv) character behaviour and standing of the accused and the circumstances which are peculiar to the accused; (v) larger interest of the public or the State and similar other considerations are required to be considered.

[6.0] I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the investigation papers. Following aspects have been considered:

- (1) applicant is having no past antecedents;
- (2) None of the offence alleged is punishable with life sentence or death penalty;
- (3) Applicant is behind the bars since 14.06.2026;
- (4) There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant;
- (5) Obviously commencement and conclusion of trial will take some time;
- (6) substantial part of investigation is over;
- (7)** As per the case of the prosecution, the present applicant and the complainant were engaged in the business of importing used clothes from abroad. It appears that the complainant is the owner of BAT Intercontinental Pvt. Ltd. and holds 55% shares in the company, whereas the applicant holds 45% shares. The complainant had advanced certain amounts to the applicant for business purposes. It is alleged that, in the

transactions in question, the accused forged certain invoices bearing the seal and signature of Company A to Z, diverted the funds, under-invoiced the exported goods, and misappropriated the business proceeds.

(8) The co-accused has been granted protection by a Coordinate Bench of this Court by way of anticipatory bail. More particularly, the entire transaction appears to be in the nature of a business transaction.

(9) Considering the nature of the allegations and the part payment made by the co-accused, it appears that the dispute arises out of a business transaction of a commercial nature. Further, considering that the trial will take its own time for its conclusion and commencement, the present application deserves consideration.

[7.0] This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra vs. Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **[2012]1 SCC 40** as well as in the case of **Gudikanti Narasimhulu And Ors vs. Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in (1978)1 SCC 240**. Obviously, the conclusion of trial will take time and keeping the accused behind the bars is nothing but amounts to pre-trial conviction and therefore, considering the celebrated principle of bail jurisprudence is that "bail is a rule and jail is exception" as well as the concept of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, present application deserves consideration.

[8.0] In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with **C.R. No.11993007260121 of 2026, registered with B-Division Gandhidham Police Station, District :**

Kachchh on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with one **LOCAL** surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

- (a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;
- (b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;
- (c) surrender passport, if any, to the trial court within a week;
- (d) not leave territory of **INDIA** without prior permission of the trial court concerned;
- (e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a week till filing of the chargesheet and after filing of the chargesheet, mark presence before the concerned police station once in a month for a period of six months;
- (f) furnish the UIDAI Number, Contact Number/s, Passport Number (if he is having the passport), E-mail address and present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence / contact number without prior permission of Trial Court;

[9.0] The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

[10.0] Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

[11.0] At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

[12.0] Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

KUMAR ALOK

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)