

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONSENT QUASHING) NO.
14999 of 2026

=====

MANILAL RAMJIBHAI CHANDORA & ANR.

Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR. KARTIKKUMAR K JOSHI(8042) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2

MR. SUMIT V CHAUDHARI(9388) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR. NIRAJ SHARMA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE M. K. THAKKER

Date : 27/07/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of notice for and on behalf of the respondent – State.
2. The present application is filed for quashment of FIR being no.11186008260883 of 2026 dated 09.06.2026 registered at Una Police Station, Dist: Gir-Somnath, for the offences punishable under section of 318(4), 54 of the BNS.
3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned advocate Mr. Joshi for the applicant that the allegations against the present applicant that the applicant has taken the amount of Rs.59,200/- under the guise of loan

processing. It is submitted that the dispute is resolved between the parties and the complainant has given no objection for quashment of the FIR. Learned advocate Mr. Sumit Chaudhari appearing for respondent no.2/complainant has supported the said submission, and has reiterated the facts mentioned in the affidavit filed by the complainant at page: 13. The complainant is present before this Court and is identified by the learned advocate for the complainant. It is submitted that in view of the settlement and the consent given by the complainant, the impugned FIR deserves to be set aside.

4. Having heard the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties, considering the nature of dispute which appears private in nature as well as considering the affidavit and taking into consideration the decisions rendered by the Apex Court in the cases of '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.**', reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303**, '**Madan Mohan Abbot Vs. State of Punjab**', reported in **(2008) 4 SCC 582**, '**Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.**', reported in **2009 (1) GLH 31**, '**Manoj Sharma Vs. State & Ors.**', reported in **2009 (1) GLH 190** and '**Narinder Singh &**

Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.’, reported in **2014 (2) Crime 67 (SC)**, it appears that further continuation of criminal proceedings in relation to the impugned FIR against the applicant would be unnecessary harassment to the applicant. This Court has also considered the latest decision of the Apex Court in the case of **‘Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others v. State of Gujarat’**, Criminal Appeal No.1723 of 2017, dated 4.10.2017 and the guidelines issued by the Apex Court in the said decision, particularly Paragraph-15, thereof. Considering the nature of disputes between the parties which are all private in nature, this Court is of the opinion that the matter requires consideration. It appears that the trial would be futile and further continuance of the proceedings pursuant to the impugned FIR would amount to abuse of process of law and hence, to secure the ends of justice, the impugned FIR is required to be quashed and set aside in exercise of powers conferred under Section 482 of the Code.

5. In view of the above, the impugned FIR being No.11186008260883 of 2026 dated 09.06.2026

registered at Una Police Station, Dist: Gir-Somnath, for the offences punishable under section of 318(4), 54 of the BNS, is quashed. Accordingly, all the consequential proceedings are hereby quashed and set aside. Rule is made absolute.

6. Direct service is permitted.

AMIT ITALIAN

(M. K. THAKKER,J)