

11/07/2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, AHMEDABAD
BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
ON THIS 11TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

CONCILIATORS PRESENT: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J. L. ODEDRA**
AND
CONCILIATOR - MR.DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS (LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 15030 of 2026

1 TAKHATSANG JIVUBHA
CHAVDA
AT PIPLD, TAL
KHAMBHAT, DIST ANAND.

Applicant(s)

VERSUS

1 STATE OF GUJARAT
NOTICE TO BE SERVED
THROUGH THE LEARNED
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT,
AHMEDABAD

2 PIPLOI PEOPLES CO OP
PVT. LTD SOCIETY
THROUGH HIS
AUTHORISED PERSON
NAMELY
RAMESHBHAI MAGANBHAI
THAKOR
AT PIPDOI, JAMORIYASIM,
TAL. KHAMBHAT, DIST
ANAND

Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR. MAULIK M SONI(7249) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR ROHAN H RAVAL, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CONCILIATION ORDER

1. With the consent of the parties, this matter was taken up for hearing in the Lok Adalat.

11/07/2026

2. The original complainant-Respondent No.2 – Rameshbhai Maganbhai Thakor (Piploi Peoples Co. Op. Pvt. Ltd. Society through his Authorized person) is present before us. He states that he has received the entire negotiated cheque consideration amount. The affidavit dated 11.07.2026 of the respondent No.2 evidencing the foregoing is ordered to be taken on record. The learned advocate of Respondent No.2, Mr. Siddharty Thakur, has identified the actual complainant – Rameshbhai Maganbhai Thakor who is present before us.

3. Affidavit of respondent No.2 – original complainant is produced and is taken on record.

4. Having noted that the negotiated cheque consideration amount has been paid to the complainant, the sum deposited with the Trial Court to the tune of Rs.9,92,133/- is permitted to be withdrawn by the applicant, to which the learned advocate for the respondent no.2 expressly gave no objection.

5. Mr. Siddharty Thakur, learned advocate for the respondent No.2, has also submitted before the Court that he is desirous of filing a Vakalatnama before the Registry. Accordingly, the Registry is requested to accept the same.

6. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties

11/07/2026

and in view of the fact that the original complainant does not wish to continue with the proceedings, the impugned order and judgment of conviction dated 15.03.2025 passed in Criminal Case No.1182 of 2018 by the learned learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Court, Khambhat at Anand are hereby quashed and set aside.

7. In view of the rationale laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Madhya Pradesh State Legal Services Authority vs. Prateek Jain and another, (2014) 10 SCC 690*, the Court in its discretion is not ordering the deposit of any amount towards costs, as the matter has been settled in Lok Adalat.

8. In view of the aforesaid settlement between the parties , the present application is disposed of. In view of the disposal of the main Criminal Miscellaneous Application, all connected applications also stand disposed of. Rule/notice, if any, shall stand discharged.

9. Warrants, if any, issued by this Court or Trial Court in connection with this matter shall stand cancelled. The Registry is directed to transmit the record and proceedings to the concerned Court forthwith.

11/07/2026

10. The order passed in this application shall not be treated as a precedent in any other matter or case arising out of the same incident.

**(J. L. ODEDRA, J)
CONCILIATOR**

**(DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS, LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)
CONCILIATOR**

Manoj Kumar Rai