

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (AGAINST ORDER PASSED BY SUBORDINATE COURT) NO. 110 of 2014

=====

AJAY CHANDUBHAI JADHAV & ORS.

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR RASESH H PARIKH(3862) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8

MR HEMANG H PARIKH(2628) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8

MS MONALI H. BHATT, APP PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR

Date : 27/03/2026

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned advocate for the applicants and learned APP for respondent – State of Gujarat.

Since by way of present revision application, the applicants have assailed an order passed below Exh.95 under Section 91 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and as law is very well settled by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sethuraman vs. Rajamanickam** reported in **(2009)5 SCC 153**, revision application is not maintainable and is therefore, disposed of accordingly. However, it is kept open for the applicants to raise all permissible contentions during the trial and the learned trial Court to consider the same on its own merits without being influenced by any of the order passed in present revision application. It is made clear that this Court has not examined the merits of the case. Rule is hereby discharged. Ad-interim relief granted earlier stands vacated forthwith.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR, J.)

Ajay