

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY) NO.
14643 of 2026****In R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION/18443/2026
In R/CRIMINAL APPEAL/1352/2024****With
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 18443 of 2026
In
R/CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1352 of 2024****=====**
KIRITDAN RAJUDAN BAROT
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.
=====**Appearance:****DARSHIT R BRAHMBHATT(8011) for the Applicant(s) No. 1**
HCLS COMMITTEE(4998) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
MR PRANAV K TRIVEDI(9735) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 1
=====**CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SANJEEV J.THAKER****Date : 05/08/2026****ORAL ORDER****ORDER IN CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION
OF DELAY) NO. 14643 of 2026**

1. The present application is filed for condonation of delay of 24 days caused in filing the captioned Criminal Misc. Application.

2. Learned advocate for the respondent no.2 Mr P.K. Trivedi has opposed the present application, and stated that no justifiable reasons have been stated in the application to condone the delay.

3. Having regard to the submissions advanced by the learned advocate for the applicant and more particularly considering the averments made in the memorandum of application, the present application is hereby allowed in terms of Para 10(B) of the present application.

4. The application, therefore, succeeds and is, accordingly, allowed. The delay is hereby **condoned**.

ORDER IN CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 18443 of 2026

1. The present application is filed seeking restoration of the captioned Criminal Appeal.

2. This Court by an order dated 30.04.2026, had dismissed the captioned appeal in view of the fact that none appeared when the matter was called out nor any request for pass over was sought.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant stated the reasons at para no. 6 of the application for not remaining present.

4. Having heard learned advocate for the applicant and having considered the application, sufficient reasons have been mentioned in the application for not remaining present when

the matter was called out. In view of the same, the present application is **allowed**. The captioned matter is restored to its original status.

ADITYA SINGH

(SANJEEV J.THAKER,J)