

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 158 of 2011**

=====

BHIKHABHAI RAMSANG RAJ

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR NR KODEKAR(5020) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR MURALI N DEVNANI(1863) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 10/02/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 09.12.2025 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. N. R. Kodekar for the Applicant and the office note dated 06.02.2026 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Applicant and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Applicant of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat

High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 09.12.2025 filed by learned advocate Mr. N. R. Kodekar for Applicant and the office note dated 06.02.2026 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(M. B. RATHORE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB DESAI