

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**

**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 19550 of 2017**

**With**

**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7584 of 2023**

**With**

**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2174 of 2017**

**With**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR ORDERS) NO. 1 of 2017  
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2174 of 2017**

**With**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 1 of 2018  
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2174 of 2017**

**With**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR FIXING DATE OF HEARING) NO. 1 of 2023  
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2174 of 2017**

**With**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 2 of 2018  
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2174 of 2017**

**With**

**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4703 of 2017**

=====

**PARVEZ SAM GINWALA**

**Versus**

**UNION OF INDIA & 4 other(s)**

=====

**Appearance:**

**MR MASOOM K SHAH(6516) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1**

**MR VISHWAS K SHAH(5364) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1**

**ADVANCE COPY SERVED TO GOVERNMENT PLEADER/PP for the  
Respondent(s) No. 2**

**MR DEVANG VYAS(2794) for the Respondent(s) No. 1**

**MS. SHAILI A KAPADIA(3453) for the Respondent(s) No. 5**

**NOTICE SERVED BY DS for the Respondent(s) No. 2**

**RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3,4**

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MRS. JUSTICE  
SUNITA AGARWAL**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA P. MAYEE**

**Date : 17/01/2024**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(PER : HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MRS. JUSTICE SUNITA**

**AGARWAL)**

Learned advocate Mr. Vishrut R Jani has filed leave note today.

The instant petitions have been filed seeking to challenge vires of various provisions of Gujarat Town Planning and Urban Development Act, 1976, Gujarat State Legislature (Delegation of Powers) Act, 1976, as also the notification dated 05.02.1991 issued by the Urban Development and Urban Housing Department, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar under the Gujarat Town Planning and Urban Development Act, 1976 sanctioning draft town planning scheme for Ankleshwar Town, which has lost its enforceability and hence, is not binding to the property of the petitioners.

We may record from the perusal of the order-sheets that the matters have not been argued after the service of Rule upon the respondents and repeatedly adjournments have been sought by the learned counsel for the petitioners. The matters being old one, we adjourn the same for the day with the caveat that no further adjournment would be granted on the next date fixed.

Put up on **05.02.2024**.

**(SUNITA AGARWAL, CJ )**

**(ANIRUDDHA P. MAYEE, J.)**

AMAR SINGH