

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (QUASHING) NO. 8540 of 2024**

=====

AKANKSHA D/O DILIPBHAI SINGH & ANR.

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MS URMILA N DESAI(5609) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2

for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR DHAWAN JAYSWAL, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No.

1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRZAR S. DESAI**Date : 15/07/2024****ORAL ORDER**

1. Learned Advocate, Ms. Desai, appearing for the petitioners tendered Draft Amendment.

1.1 The same is ordered to be taken on record and is ***granted.***

2. Learned Advocate, Ms. Desai, pointed out from the impugned FIR that this is the case, wherein, the first informant- Respondent No.2, has filed the same under the provisions of Sections 498-A, 323, 294(b), 506(2) and 114 of the Indian Penal Code against the present petitioners, who happened to be her sister-in-law and mother-in-law, respectively, i.e. petitioner No.1 happens to be the sister and applicant No.2 happens to be the mother of her husband, namely Adityakumar Dilipkumar Singh, alleging that the petitioners

threatened her husband to kill him and son of the first informant. It was also submitted that the allegations made against the present petitioners, in the impugned FIR, are vague and general in nature and therefore, they may be protected.

3. Heard. As far as the allegations of issuance of threat by the petitioners is concerned, the charge-sheet papers does not reveal anything against them. Moreover, the other allegations made against them in the FIR also appear to be vague and general in nature.

4. In view of the above, **Rule**, returnable on **3rd September, 2024**. Learned APP waives service for the Respondent-State.

4.1 In the meantime, there shall be *ad-interim-relief* in terms of **Paragraph-8(BB)** qua present petitioner only. Direct service through the concerned police station is permitted.

UMESH/-

(NIRZAR S. DESAI,J)