

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR QUASHING & SET ASIDE
FIR/ORDER) NO. 9035 of 2013****With
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 9036 of 2013**=====
NASHUBHA BACHUBHA PARMAR & ORS.**Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.**
=====

Appearance:

MS BELA A PRAJAPATI(1946) for the Applicant(s) No.

1,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9

RONAK RAWAL, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 2
=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE M. K. THAKKER**Date : 01/04/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. This Court has passed following order on 18.03.2026;

"Learned advocate Mr.Mangukiya seeks time, as he does not have complete set of papers.

At his request, the matter is kept on 25.03.2026 with clarification that, no further adjournments would be granted."

2. This Court has also passed following order on 25.03.2026;

"In the first round, colleague of learned advocate Mr. Mangukiya requested for pass over. Thereafter, in the second session, the colleague of learned advocate Mr. Mangukiya requested for time on the ground that due to the medical emergency, learned advocate Mr. Mangukiya had to leave the Court.

In the interest of justice, the matter is kept on 01.04.2026 and shall be taken up in the first round, even if learned advocate Mr. Mangukiya would not be there."

3. Today also, when the matter is called out, learned advocate Mr. Mangukiya is absent. The present application is filed for quashing of the FIR being C.R. No. I-30 of 2013, registered with Ranpur Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 149, 332, 337, 394, 427, 506(2) and 504 of the IPC and Section 135 of the Gujarat Police Act.

4. The present application is mainly filed on the ground that after the FIR was lodged, the parties have settled the dispute. However, considering the investigation papers, which reveal that an unlawful assembly was formed, assault was committed, public properties were damaged and public officers sustained injuries. In that background, this Court does not deem it fit to quash the FIR merely on the basis of settlement between the parties. Hence, the present application is rejected. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated. Rule is discharged.

Vikramsinh Amarsinh

(M. K. THAKKER,J)