

11/07/2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, AHMEDABAD
BEFORE THE LOK ADALAT**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
ON THIS 11TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

CONCILIATORS PRESENT: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J. L. ODEDRA**
AND
CONCILIATOR - MR.DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS (LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 14776 of 2026

1 HIRABHAI DALSANGBHAI
CHAUDHARY
AT CHAUDHARY VAS, AT
JASHPURIYA POST UMRI,
TALUKA AND DISTRICT
MEHSANA

Applicant(s)

VERSUS

1 STATE OF GUJARAT
NOTICE TO BE SERVED
THROUGH THE LEARNED
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT,
AHMEDABAD

2 HDFC BANK LIMITED
THROUGH ITS LEGAL
MANAGER
MR. KUNDAN
NAVINCHNADRA RANA
AT 2ND FLOOR, ISCON
GALAXY PLAZA, OPP.
AVSAR PARTY PLOT,
MODHERA ROAD,
MEHSANA.

Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR JM BAROT(143) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR. BHAVIK N. BAROT(19352) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR ROHAN H RAVAL, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CONCILIATION ORDER

1. With the consent of the parties, this matter was taken up for hearing in the Lok Adalat.

11/07/2026

2. The authorized person of the original complainant-Respondent No.2 HDFC Bank Limited one Mr. Debasish Das is present before us. He filed an affidavit as the authorized person of the respondent No.2 to the effect that the (HDFC Bank having office at HDFC Bank Ltd, 4th Floor, "C" Wing, Sheetal Westpark Imperia, Nr. One Mall & Vastrapur Lake, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad-380052) has received the entire negotiated cheque consideration amount. The affidavit (at page No.15) of the respondent No.2 evidencing the foregoing is ordered to be taken on record. The learned advocate of Respondent No.2, Mr. P.M. Dave has identified the authorized person of the respondent No.2 complainant – Shri Debasish Dash, who is present before us.

3. Mr. P.M. Dave, learned advocate for the respondent No.2, has also submitted before the Court that he is desirous of filing a Vakalatnama before the Registry. Accordingly, the Registry is requested to accept the same.

4. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and in view of the fact that the original complainant has received a sum of Rs. 58,000/- and as it does not wish to continue with the proceedings, the impugned order and judgment of conviction dated 12.01.2026 passed in Criminal Case No.9077 of 2023 by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mehsana are hereby quashed and set aside.

11/07/2026

5. In view of the rationale laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Madhya Pradesh State Legal Services Authority vs. Prateek Jain and another, (2014) 10 SCC 690*, the Court in its discretion is not ordering the deposit of any amount towards costs, as the matter has been settled in Lok Adalat.

6. In view of the aforesaid settlement between the parties, the present application is disposed of. In view of the disposal of the main Criminal Miscellaneous Application, all connected applications also stand disposed of. Notice, if any, shall stand discharged.

7. Warrants, if any, issued by this Court or Trial Court in connection with this matter shall stand cancelled. The Registry is directed to transmit the record and proceedings to the concerned Court forthwith.

8. The order passed in this application shall not be treated as a precedent in any other matter or case arising out of the same incident.

**(J. L. ODEDRA, J)
CONCILIATOR**

**(DHAVAL DHARMENDRA VYAS, LD. DESIGNATED SENIOR ADVOCATE)
CONCILIATOR**

Manoj Kumar Rai