

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12525 of 2021

With

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR BREACH OF ORDER) NO. 1 of 2025
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12525 of 2021**

With

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR VACATING STAY) NO. 2 of 2024
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12525 of 2021**

=====
VIRESHBHAI THAKORBHAI PATEL & ORS.

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.
=====

Appearance:

MR RUTUL P DESAI(6498) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,2,3
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2
MR MANISH S SHAH(5859) for the Respondent(s) No. 5
MR PREMAL R JOSHI(1327) for the Respondent(s) No. 3,4
SERVED BY RPAD (N) for the Respondent(s) No. 6
=====

CORAM:ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

Date : 22/04/2025

ORAL ORDER

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 16.04.2025 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. Rutul P. Desai for the Petitioners and the office note dated 17.04.2025 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Petitioners and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Petitioners of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is

nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 16.04.2025 filed by learned advocate Mr. Rutul P. Desai for Petitioners and the office note dated 17.04.2025 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(P. S. DAVE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB Desai