

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9822 of 2026**

=====

PRAVINBHAI PARMAR S/O LATE CHHITABHAI PARMAR
Versus
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

PARTY IN PERSON(5000) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR HARSHEEL D SHUKLA(6158) for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2,3,4

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN**
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI

Date : 09/09/2026

ORAL ORDER

(PER : HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN)

1. Mr. Pravin Parmar learned party-in-person, has submitted that the application was preferred before the Central Administrative Tribunal and the Tribunal, vide order dated 04.09.2025, has extended the retiral benefits, however, despite making request for interest on those benefits the Tribunal, has not granted the same. Subsequent thereto, review application was preferred, the same, also came to be rejected not taking into consideration the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***S.K. Dua v. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**. It is submitted that, it is by now well settled that retirement dues are not a bounty and the employee concerned, can claim interest under Part-3 relying on Articles 14, 19 and 226 of the Constitution of India. It is also submitted that there was a delay in payment of the suspension allowance. Also, the salary for the suspension period, was paid belatedly but without interest.

2. Mr. Harsheel Shukla learned Central Government Standing

Counsel requests for time so as to take instructions.

3. List the matter on 06.10.2026.

(SANGEETA K. VISHEN,J)

ANKIT SHAH

(UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI, J)

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judges.
Digitally signed by: ANKIT PRADIPKUMAR SHAH(HC01063), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 09/09/2026 15:45:19