

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 3910 of 2010**

=====

M/S WEIGHSCALE INDIA PVT LTD

Versus

KISHOREBHAI @ KASHIRAM SHANKARDAS PATEL & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR HENIL M SHAH(10677) for the Appellant(s) No. 1

MR DR BHATT(165) for the Defendant(s) No. 1

RULE SERVED for the Defendant(s) No. 1,2

=====

CORAM:ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 09/09/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 02.09.2025 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. D. R. Bhatt for the Respondent No. 1 and the office note dated 04.09.2025 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Respondent No. 1 and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Respondent No. 1 of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133

of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 02.09.2025 filed by learned advocate Mr. D. R. Bhatt for Respondent No. 1 and the office note dated 04.09.2025 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(M. B. RATHORE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB DESAI