

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 17795 of 2014**

=====
BUDHABHAI MATHURBHAI CHAUHAN & ORS.

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.
=====

Appearance:

ADVOCATE NOTICE SERVED for the Petitioner(s) No. 1.1,1.2,1.3,1.4
DECEASED LITIGANT THROUGH LEGAL HEIRS/ REPRESENTATIVES
for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2

HCLS COMMITTEE(4998) for the Respondent(s) No. 3.1

MR JIGAR G GADHAVI(5613) for the Respondent(s) No. 3.1

MS JAYSHREE C BHATT(170) for the Respondent(s) No. 3,3.2,3.3,3.4,3.5

RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3.1,3.2,3.3,3.4,3.5
=====

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DIVYESH A. JOSHI****Date : 20/07/2026****ORAL ORDER**

The record reveals that the learned advocate who was representing the petitioners has passed away during the pendency of the proceedings, and thereafter, even an Advocate notice also came to be issued upon the petitioners, which was also duly served upon them, however, despite service of notice, they have chosen not to appear either in person or through an advocate and conduct the proceedings, and without there being any assistance from the petitioners, this Court is not able to proceed further with the matter. Hence, the matter is dismissed for non-prosecution. Rule is discharged. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated.

VAHID

(DIVYESH A. JOSHI,J)