

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 4 of 2010****With****CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR BRINGING HEIRS) NO. 1 of 2026****In R/CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 4 of 2010****With****CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY) NO. 2 of 2026****In CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR BRINGING HEIRS) NO. 1 of 2026****In R/CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 4 of 2010**=====
MAHARAJ JYOTINDRASINHJI VIKRAMSINHJI**Versus****KUMBHAR POPATBHAI PACHABHAI & ORS.**
=====**Appearance:**

MR AR THACKER(888) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

ADVOCATE NOTICE SERVED for the Opponent(s) No. 1.2

MR MANAN K PANERI(7959) for the Opponent(s) No. 1.1,1.4,1.5,1.6,1.7

RULE SERVED for the Opponent(s) No. 1.3.1,1.3.2,1.3.3,1.3.4,1.3.5
=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MAULIK J.SHELAT**Date : 15/07/2026****ORAL ORDER****ORDER IN CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2 OF 2026 :**

1. At the outset, Ms.Moksha Dani, learned advocate for the applicants requests this Court to allow her to amend the days of delay mentioned in the prayer clause. The permission as sought for is granted. The correct date of delay shall be mentioned in the prayer clause.

2. Heard Ms.Dani, learned advocate for the applicants and Mr.Manan Paneri, learned advocate for the opponents.

3. This application is filed by the legal heirs and representatives of the original petitioner who died during the pendency of the revision application.

4. Having heard learned advocates for the respective parties and having gone through the averments made in the application which remained uncontroverted by the opponents, according to my view, sufficient cause is made out in filing the application seeking impleadment of applicants as legal heirs and representatives of the original petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the present application is allowed in terms of para 9(a) of the application.

ORDER IN CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1 OF 2026 :

1. Heard Ms.Dani, learned advocate for the applicants and Mr.Manan Paneri, learned advocate for the opponents.

2. The original petitioner died during the pendency of the revision application and the cause survives in favour of the present applicants to continue the revision application.

3. Having heard learned advocates for the respective parties and having gone through the averments made in the application and since no dispute is raised as regards the right to sue survives in favour fo the applicants by the opponent, the present application is allowed in terms of para 8(a) of the application. Necessary amendment shall be carried out in the cause title of the revision application, forthwith.

ORDER IN CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION :

1. List the matter on **29.07.2026**.

GAURAV J THAKER

(MAULIK J. SHELAT, J)