

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015****With****CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10483 of 2015****In****SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015**

=====

RAVISHANKAR KARSANJI MEHTA....Appellant(s)

Versus

HASUMATI MANSUKHLAL MEHTA & 4....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR P J YAGNIK, ADVOCATE for the Appellant(s) No. 1

AAKASH D MODI, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

MR PRABHAKAR UPADYAY, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

NOTICE UNSERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3

UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for the Respondent(s) No. 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.D.KOTHARI**Date : 05/01/2016****ORAL ORDER**

The Board shows that respondent no. 5 is unserved expired and respondent no. 3 is not served as he is not residing at the given address. Learned advocate Mr. Yagnik prays for time to take the instructions as to fresh address of respondent no. 3 and legal heirs of respondent no. 5. At his request, the matter is adjourned to **28.01.2016.**

Ad-interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date of hearing.

(R.D.KOTHARI, J.)

/phalguni/