

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015****With****CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10483 of 2015****In****SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015**

=====

RAVISHANKAR KARSANJI MEHTA....Appellant(s)

Versus

HASUMATI MANSUKHLAL MEHTA & 4....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR P J YAGNIK, ADVOCATE for the Appellant(s) No. 1

AAKASH D MODI, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

MR PRABHAKAR UPADYAY, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

NOTICE UNSERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3

UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for the Respondent(s) No. 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.D.KOTHARI**Date : 07/12/2015****ORAL ORDER**

The Board shows that respondents nos. 3 and 5 are unserved. The learned advocate for the appellant prays for time to take the instructions about the new address of the unserved respondents. At his request, the matter is adjourned to **05.01.2016**.

Ad-interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date of hearing.

(R.D.KOTHARI, J.)

/phalguni/