

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015****With****CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10483 of 2015****In****SECOND APPEAL NO. 84 of 2015**

=====

RAVISHANKAR KARSANJI MEHTA....Appellant(s)

Versus

HASUMATI MANSUKHLAL MEHTA & 4....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR P J YAGNIK, ADVOCATE for the Appellant(s) No. 1

AAKASH D MODI, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

MR PRABHAKAR UPADYAY, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 - 2

NOTICE UNSERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 3

UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for the Respondent(s) No. 5

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE Z.K.SAIYED****Date : 14/07/2016****ORAL ORDER**

The Office has put up the office note. From the office note, it appears that the appellant was directed to supply the address of respondent no.3 on or before 27.06.2016 and matter was adjourned to 27.06.2016. On 27.06.2016, the appellant was granted fifteen days time to supply the address of respondent no.3, but the same came to be supplied after the prescribed time limit and hence, the delay is caused in supplying the address of respondent no.3. The delay caused in supplying the address of respondent no.3 is condoned.

Process fees to be paid on or before 20.07.2016. Returnable date is extended upto 11.08.2016.

With the above direction, the office note stands disposed of.

(Z.K.SAIYED, J.)

vijay