

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 1094 of 2003****With****R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 2449 of 2011**

=====

MAHARAJA SALT WORKS CO PVT LTD**Versus****KOLI GOKAL DAYABHAI & 31 other(s)**

=====

Appearance:**MR. GAJENDRA M JANI(7191) for the Appellant(s) No. 1****MR. NAYAN R MEHTA(7162) for the Appellant(s) No. 1****for the Defendant(s) No. 15,19,20,27,31****ADVOCATE NOTICE SERVED for the Defendant(s) No.****10,11,21,22,24,30,32,5,8****ADVOCATE NOTICE UNSERVED for the Defendant(s) No. 13,9****MR JAMSHED KAVINA(11236) for the Defendant(s) No.****12,16,17,18,23,25,28,4,6****MR SP MAJMUDAR(3456) for the Defendant(s) No. 12,16,17,18,23,25,28,4,6****MS AMRITA AJMERA(5204) for the Defendant(s) No. 1****RULE SERVED for the Defendant(s) No. 1,2,26,29,3****UNSERVED EXPIRED (R) for the Defendant(s) No. 14,7**

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN M. DESAI**Date : 13/02/2024****ORAL ORDER**

1. When the matter is taken up for hearing, even in the second round, learned advocate for the appellant is absent before this Court.

2. It seems that the appellant has not taken any steps pursuant to the order dated 06.02.2024 passed by this Court regarding the unserved respondent Nos.9 and 13 and also with regard to unserved expired respondent Nos.7 and 14. It seems that the

appellant is not interested in prosecuting the First Appeal against the respondent Nos.9 and 13 and also against the heirs of respondent Nos.7 and 14.

3. In view of above circumstances, the First Appeal against the respondent Nos.9 and 13 is dismissed and against the heirs of respondent Nos.7 and 14 Appeal is abated.

RINKU MALI

(D. M. DESAI,J)