

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9229 of 2014**

=====

BANSAL COMMODITIES ENTERPRISE

Versus

GOKUL OVERSEAS, A PARTNERSHIP FIRM

=====

Appearance:

NANAVATI ASSOCIATES(1375) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

KOMAL S DAVE(8152) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

MR SIDDHARTH H DAVE(5306) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MAULIK J.SHELAT**Date : 21/08/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. In the morning, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner, requests for time with consent, so the Court has accepted such request and matter is posted on 11th September, 2025. But when the matters were called out in seriatim, at that time, learned advocate Mr. Harsh M. Upadhyaya appearing on behalf of learned advocate Mr. Diddharth Dave for the respondent has pointed out to this Court that no consent was obtained by the learned advocate for the petitioner for any adjournment and as such they were not informed about adjournment of the matter.

2. As such, according to the learned advocate for the respondent, the matter was sufficiently heard by this Court, so he requests for short accommodation as learned advocate for the petitioner is not present.

3. It is very unpleasant situation arise due to the aforesaid fact as this Court is fully deprecate such practice adopted by the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner making incorrect and false statement i.e taking date with consent. It should be noted that this Court can impose exemplary cost upon the learned advocate for the petitioner for giving incorrect/false statement. Nonetheless, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to **11th September, 2025.**

(MAULIK J.SHELAT,J)

SALIM/

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: MOHAMMAD SALIM(HC01108), STENO GR II, at High Court of Gujarat on 25/08/2025 10:26:00