

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY) NO.
14851 of 2026****In R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION/18919/2026
In R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION/8373/2025
In F/CRIMINAL APPEAL/15488/2025****With
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 18919 of 2026
In
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 8373 of 2025****=====**
MUKESH GOPALDAS BHOJWANI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.
=====**Appearance:****ULLASH N GOHIL(8357) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR NIRAJ SHARMA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1
S B SUTARIA(7651) for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3,4**
=====**CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SANJEEV J.THAKER****Date : 11/08/2026****ORAL ORDER****ORDER IN CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF
DELAY) NO. 14851 of 2026**

1. The present application is filed for condonation of delay of 122 days caused in filing the captioned Criminal Misc. Application.
2. Learned advocate for the respondent has strongly opposed to the present application, and stated that no justifiable reasons have been stated in the application to condone the delay.

3. Having regard to the submissions advanced by the learned advocate for the applicant and more particularly considering the averments made in the memorandum of application, the present application is hereby allowed in terms of Para 6 of the present application.

4. The application, therefore, succeeds and is, accordingly, allowed. The delay is hereby **condoned**.

ORDER IN CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR RESTORATION)NO.
8373 of 2025

1. The present application is filed seeking restoration of the captioned Criminal Appeal.

2. This Court by an order dated 09.01.2026, had dismissed the captioned appeal in view of the fact that none appeared when the matter was called out even in the second call nor any request for pass over was sought.

3. Considered the submissions and averments made in the application.

4. Having heard learned advocate for the applicant and having considered the application, sufficient reasons have been mentioned in the application for not remaining present when the matter was called out. In view of the same, the present

application is **allowed**. The captioned matter is restored to its original status.

ADITYA SINGH/89

(SANJEEV J.THAKER,J)