

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3330 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2697 of 2020

With

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (DIRECTION) NO. 1 of 2020

In

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2697 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 5070 of 2021

With

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FIXING DATE OF EARLY HEARING)

NO. 1 of 2021

In R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 5070 of 2021

With

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 1272 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 7862 of 2021

=====

ABHISHEK KUMAR MISHRA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

PARTY IN PERSON(5000) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS. YASHMA R MATHUR(6374) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

MR. SOAHAM JOSHI, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP N. BHATT

Date : 22/06/2023

COMMON ORAL ORDER

1. Today the matter is heard at length.
2. Party-in-person - Abhishek Kumar Mishra has made

detailed submissions in all the matters, which is filed by him.

3. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Mr. Soham Joshi appearing for the respondent No.1 – State has drawn my attention towards affidavit-in-reply filed in Special Criminal Application No. 5070 of 2021 and has submitted that investigation is already transferred pursuant to the interim order passed by this Court and subsequently, affidavit-in-reply is filed. He has also submitted that pursuant to the interim order, no further investigation is carried out and therefore, there is no question to harass the applicant, however, he has shown his willingness if the Court feels, Court may direct the concerned Investigating Officer to remain present.

4. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case and considering the fact that today it is found learned advocate Ms. Yashma Mathur for the respondent No.2 has filed sick note, though the arguments of the parties are concluded, as a last chance, the matter is adjourned to **30.6.2023**.

5. It is clarified that on the next date of hearing, if learned advocate for the respondent No.2 will not make any submission, the matter will be concluded and kept for dictation of order.

DIWAKAR SHUKLA

(SANDEEP N. BHATT,J)