

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2217 of 2012**

=====

PARTHIV N SHAH
Versus
MAC REMEDIES PVT LTD & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR VANDAN K BAXI(5863) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1
MS PRACHITI V SHAH(9990) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1
NANAVATI & NANAVATI(1933) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1
DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for the Respondent(s) No. 5,6
MR DIPAK R DAVE(1232) for the Respondent(s) No. 3,4
MR P A MEHD(3489) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 2

=====

CORAM: ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

Date : 24/03/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Aarsh Brahambhatt on behalf of learned Advocate Mr. Vandan K. Baxi appearing for the Applicant.
2. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 11.03.2026 filed by learned advocate Mr. Vandan K. Baxi for Nanavati & Nanavati addressed to the office along with copy of track consignment report of Postal Department. Perused the office note dated 20.03.2026 pertaining to the same.
3. The learned advocate Mr. Vandan K. Baxi for Nanavati &

Nanavati for the Applicant has filed a note dated 11.03.2026 for withdrawal of their appearance on behalf of the Applicant for deleting their name from the cause title of the captioned matter. The aforesaid withdrawal of appearance is annexed with communication dated 07.02.2026 addressed to the Applicant along copy of track consignment report of Postal Department. It is averred that the communication/original cover has returned back unserved as “Addressee left without instruction”.

4. The Applicant is duty bound to submit his new address either to his learned advocate or on the record of the Court for due service of notice to him. It, prima facie, transpires that the learned advocate has performed his part of duty to make due attempts for service of communication dated 07.02.2026 to the Applicant. Now, it is the turn of the Applicant to furnish the new address on record. It, prima facie, appears that the learned advocate has tried his best for due service of communication to his client i.e. the Applicant through RPAD in compliance of Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993 on the address available on record.
5. In view of the aforesaid submissions and on perusal of

record, the withdrawal of appearance of learned advocate Mr. Vandan K. Baxi for Nanavati & Nanavati dated 11.03.2026 and office note dated 20.03.2026 pertaining to the same are accepted herewith and disposed of accordingly. The office is directed to remove the name of learned advocate Mr. Vandan K. Baxi and Nanavati & Nanavati as an advocate of the Applicant from the cause title of captioned matter/s at the earliest. No Advocate Notice is required to be issued to the Applicant as learned Advocate Ms. Prachiti V. Shah continues as an Advocate of the Applicant.

(M. B. RATHORE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB DESAI